that are important to the Department of Defense, through the use of competitive, multi-year grants to support the conduct of research and development relevant to requirements of the Department of Defense, at institutions that have received less than \$2.0 million from the Department of Defense over the 2 previous FYs (section 802 of Pub. L. 103–160).

- (b) All URISP funds shall be obligated using a merit-based selection process. The university peer reviewers shall be from the faculty and staff of institutions that are members of NASULGC and ASSCU (section 802 of Pub. L. 103–160).
- (c) Institutions eligible to participate in URISP will be determined using DoD obligation data for the two previous FYs.

### § 209.5 Responsibilities.

- (a) The Director of Defense Research and Engineering, under the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, shall ensure that only eligible institutions are allowed to participate in URISP. The Director of Defense Research and Engineering shall oversee the approval of any selected scientific topical areas to be included in the URISP announcement, and the allocation of funds among the research offices. The URISP shall be reviewed annually and modified as appropriate to ensure that defense requirements are being met.
- (b) The Secretaries of the Military Departments, and the Directors of the Defense Agencies responsible for the majority of the university basic research grants, under OSD Principal Staff Assistants, shall ensure that:
- (1) All proposals chosen for URISP funding shall have been selected through a merit-based selection process using university peer reviewers selected only from the faculty and staff of institutions that are members of the NASULGC and AASCU (section 802 of Pub. L. 103–160).
- (2) Each project funded under URISP is reviewed annually to determine if defense requirements are being met.

Dated: February 24, 1995.

### L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 95–5079 Filed 3–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation

### 33 CFR Part 402

#### **Tariff of Tolls**

**AGENCY:** Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation and the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority of Canada have jointly established and presently administer the St. Lawrence Seaway Tariff of Tolls. This Tariff sets forth the level of tolls assessed on all commodities and vessels transiting the facilities operated by the Corporation and the Authority. The Corporation and the Authority currently are in disagreement over the level and nature of future tolls. The Corporation is considering recommendations to be made to the Authority that joint rulemaking be undertaken to lower the level of tolls or otherwise change the Tariff. In order to determine whether such a rulemaking is warranted, what the substance should be, and what is the scope of the issues involved, the Corporation is holding five public meetings to discuss the problems encountered under the existing toll structure and possible solutions. This notice announces the dates, times, and places of the meetings.

DATES: The meetings will be held on March 7, 1995, in Duluth, Minnesota, on March 14, 1995, in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on April 5, 1995, in Portage, Indiana, on April 11, 1995, in Toledo, Ohio, and on April 26, 1995, in Ogdensburg, New York, each beginning at 9:30 a.m. and concluding at 12 noon, except the Duluth meeting which will begin at 2 p.m. and conclude at 4:30 p.m., and the Milwaukee meeting which will begin at 1 p.m. and conclude at 3:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held in: the conference room of the Seaway Port Authority of Duluth, 1200 Port Terminal Drive, Duluth, Minnesota; the conference room of the Port of Milwaukee, 2323 South Lincoln Memorial Drive, Milwaukee, Wisconsin; in the conference room of Burns International Harbor, 6600 U.S. Highway 12, Portage, Indiana; the conference room of the Port Authority Office, 1 Maritime Plaza, Toledo, Ohio; and the board room of the Ogdensburg Bridge and Port Authority, Bridge Plaza, Ogdensburg, New York.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marc C. Owen, Chief Counsel, Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, P.O. Box 44090, Washington, DC 20026–4090, (202) 366–6823.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussions between the Corporation and the Authority about the Seaway Tariff of Tolls have resulted in disagreement over future Tariff amendments. It is necessary to take into account prevailing market conditions and not unduly restrict maritime commerce or create additional barriers to trade in the development of the Tariff. Both parties wish to pursue fairness among their primary goals and strive for equal treatment for vessels and cargoes using the Seaway, but disagree on the current, fundamental economic basis for new toll levels. In addition, they believe that it is necessary to seek simplicity in developing the Tariff as complexity and ambiguity tend to provide a disincentive to using the Seaway. Lastly, both parties wish to strive for stability so that those engaged in commerce on the Seaway can make long-term planning decisions with some confidence of future conditions.

Based upon these mutual conclusions, the Corporation is considering recommending to the Authority that joint rulemaking be undertaken to lower the level of tolls or otherwise change the Tariff. In order to determine whether such a rulemaking is warranted, what the substance should be, and what is the scope of the issues involved, the Corporation is holding five public meetings to discuss the problems encountered under the existing toll structure and possible solutions. These meetings will be open for discussion, however, any person wishing to make a formal presentation is requested to notify the Corporation at least five working days before the meetings and provide the approximate time desired for the presentation to Marc C. Owen, Chief Counsel, Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, P.O. Box 44090, Washington, DC 20026-4090 (202-366-6823). In addition, the Corporation requests an original written text of any formal presentation along with five copies before, at, or within ten working days after the time of the meeting. Finally, if any person wishes to present written comments, but not participate in the meetings, they may submit those comments to that same address at any time before, at, or within ten working days after the time of the meetings.

The meetings are open to the public, each beginning at 9:30 a.m. and

concluding at 12 noon, except the Duluth meeting which will begin at 2 p.m. and conclude at 4:30 p.m., and the Milwaukee meeting which will begin at 1 p.m. and conclude at 3:30 p.m., and will be at the following dates and places: March 7, 1995, in the conference room of the Seaway Port Authority of Duluth, 1200 Port Terminal Drive, Duluth, Minnesota; March 14, 1995, in the conference room of the Port of Milwaukee, 2323 South Lincoln Memorial Drive, Milwaukee, Wisconsin; April 5, 1995, in the conference room of Burns International Harbor, 6600 U.S. Highway 12, Portage, Indiana; April 11, 1995, in the conference room of the Port Authority Office, 1 Maritime Plaza, Toledo, Ohio; and April 26, 1995, in the board room of the Ogdensburg Bridge and Port Authority, Bridge Plaza, Ogdensburg, New York.

Issued at Washington, D.C. on February 24, 1995.

Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation.

### Marc C. Owen,

Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 95–5144 Filed 3–1–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-61-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 2

[ET Docket No. 95-18; FCC 95-39]

## Allocation of Spectrum at 2 GHz for Use by the Mobile-Satellite Service

**AGENCY: Federal Communications** 

Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** By this Notice of Proposed Rule Making, the Commission proposes to allocate 70 megahertz of spectrum at 1990-2025 MHz and 2165-2200 MHz to the Mobile-Satellite Service (MSS). This proposal responds to petitions filed by Celsat, Inc., TRW, and the Personal Communications Satellite Corporation for spectrum in the 2 GHz range to operate satellites providing personal communications services. This Notice of Proposed Rule Making solicits comment on the proposed allocation, including the necessity and means of moving incumbent Broadcast Auxiliary Service and microwave licensees to another band; on technical requirements for MSS in the proposed bands; and on awarding MSS licenses in the proposed bands by competitive bidding. **COMMENT DATES:** Comments are due

March 9, 1995. Reply comments are due March 27, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sean White, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 776–1624.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, adopted January 30, 1995, and released January 31, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision also may be purchased from the Commission's duplication contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

## **Summary of Notice of Proposed Rule Making**

1. In this Notice of Proposed Rule Making, the Commission proposes to allocate 70 megahertz of spectrum at 1990-2025 MHz and 2165-2200 MHz to the Mobile-Satellite Service (MSS). The 1992 World Administrative Radio Conference (WARC-92) allocated the 1970-1980 MHz (Earth-to-space) and 2160-2170 MHz (space-to-Earth) bands in Region 2 and the 1980-2010 MHz (Earth-to-space) and 2170-2200 MHz (space-to-Earth) bands worldwide to MSS. In the June 1994 Memorandum Opinion and Order in GEN Docket No. 90-314, 59 FR 32830, June 24, 1994, we allocated the 1850-1990 MHz band to terrestrial broadband personal communications services (PCS). Because of this, it does not appear to be practicable to make a domestic allocation of 2 GHz spectrum for MSS that is consistent with the international allocation without jeopardizing the availability of spectrum for PCS.

2. We believe that a need exists for allocating a substantial amount of spectrum for MSS. There is significant consumer demand for convenient mobile services such as telephone, highrate data and fax, and video. MSS can provide such communications in remote or rural areas not covered by terrestrially based mobile services, and can provide nationwide public safety coverage. We also believe that use of 2 GHz frequencies can help minimize transmission costs and ensure a relatively low cost service that will be within the economic reach of a large segment of the population. Thus, the proposed allocation of 70 MHz of spectrum to MSS should give the public, especially rural Americans, access to new and competitive services and technologies.

3. Any 2 GHz MSS allocation should be as consistent as possible with the WARC-92 worldwide MSS allocation, to help ensure a truly universal service. We therefore believe that incorporating use of the 1990–2010 MHz and 2170–2200 MHz bands allocated for MSS by WARC–92 is desirable. At the same time, we believe that 70 megahertz is needed to accommodate all MSS demand, and so propose to allocate 1990–2025 MHz and 2165–2200 MHz to MSS.

- 4. This allocation would require that the candidate bands be cleared of Broadcast Auxiliary Service (BAS) incumbents in the 1990-2025 MHz band. In order to accommodate these incumbents, we propose to add 35 megahertz at the upper end of the BAS spectrum to offset the 35 megahertz we are allocating to MSS, making the BAS allocation 2025-2145 MHz. MSS providers would have to bear the costs of moving BAS licensees to their new band. The proposed MSS allocation would also require relocation of microwave incumbents. We addressed this issue in the First Report and Order and Third Notice of Proposed Rule Making in ET Docket No. 92-9, 58 FR 46457, September 2, 1993, and propose to follow the same procedures, requiring that MSS licensees bear the entire cost of relocating BAS and microwave incumbents in the 1990-2025 MHz and 2165-2200 MHz bands.
- 5. The Commission solicits public comment on the proposed allocation, the proposal to relocate BAS and microwave incumbents, any other sharing or technical matters pertinent to the proposed allocation, and our proposal to allocate licenses in the proposed MSS spectrum by competitive bidding.

### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 2

Frequency allocations and radio treaty matters, Radio.

Federal Communications Commission.

### William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–5128 Filed 3–1–95; 8:45 am]

### 47 CFR Part 63

[IB Docket No. 95-22, FCC 95-53]

# Foreign-Affiliated Entities: In the Matter of Market Entry and Regulation

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission is proposing to modify its approach to determining the public interest in cases where a foreign carrier